

EXHIBIT 75

From: Mazzotti, Joseph <jmazzotti@alixpartners.com>
Sent: Friday, January 04, 2013 6:21 PM
To: adam.rosen@us.pwc.com
Cc: 'Art Traynor'; 'Hatfield, Ben'; bruce.m.buchanan@us.pwc.com; 'Buschmann, Mark'; 'Lucha, Dale'; 'Hartsog, Kent'; Moskowitz, Elliot; 'Grant Crandall'; 'Huffard, Flip'; 'Bean, Joe'; 'Lushefski, Jack'; 'Judy Rivlin'; Huebner, Marshall S.; 'Luna, Michael'; perry.mandarino@us.pwc.com; fp@previant.com; Hiltz, Ken
Subject: RE: Patriot - Data Request
Attachments: 1-4-13 Status Report in Response to PWC data request of 12-12-12.pdf; 1-4-13 Status Report in Response to PWC data request of 12-14-12.pdf

Adam,

Thank you for providing your status updates and comments on your information requests, as well as for taking the time to discuss several of these items with us yesterday. We appreciated the opportunity to clarify your questions and comments with regard to items we have provided. Attached are our updated status reports for the 12/12/12 and 12/14/12, which reflect our conversation yesterday, our responses to your updates and comments, and further updates on our end since our last correspondence.

We also look forward to the conference call we planned for Tuesday afternoon of next week, and will be able to finalize our participants and timing once we receive your questions later today.

Regards,

Ken Hiltz and Joe Mazzotti

Joe Mazzotti

AlixPartners | 2000 Town Center, Suite 2400 | Southfield, MI 48075
+1.248.204.0663 (o) | +1.248.633.4582 (m) | +1.248.263.8179 (fax)

jmazzotti@alixpartners.com | www.AlixPartners.com

Follow us on [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#)

From: Mazzotti, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2012 7:21 PM
To: 'adam.rosen@us.pwc.com'
Cc: 'Art Traynor'; 'Hatfield, Ben'; bruce.m.buchanan@us.pwc.com; 'Buschmann, Mark'; 'Lucha, Dale'; 'Hartsog, Kent'; 'Moskowitz, Elliot'; 'Grant Crandall'; 'Huffard, Flip'; 'Bean, Joe'; 'Lushefski, Jack'; 'Judy Rivlin'; 'Huebner, Marshall S.'; 'Luna, Michael'; perry.mandarino@us.pwc.com; fp@previant.com; Hiltz, Ken
Subject: RE: Patriot - Data Request

Adam,

Attached please find two documents: 1) a status update in response to your data request of last evening, and 2) a copy of the "Bank Plan" model referred to in item 4 of that request.

In addition to the requests reflected in all of the written information requests submitted by PwC, there have been several requests made orally at meetings and on conference calls. We have made every effort to be responsive to these

additional requests, and have posted files to the data room in response. For clarity, it would be helpful if you would set forth any such additional requests in writing and indicate whether any remain outstanding at this time.

Regards,

Joe Mazzotti

AlixPartners | 2000 Town Center, Suite 2400 | Southfield, MI 48075
+1.248.204.0663 (o) | +1.248.633.4582 (m) | +1.248.263.8179 (fax)

jmazzotti@alixpartners.com | www.AlixPartners.com

Follow us on [LinkedIn](#) | [Twitter](#) | [Facebook](#)

From: adam.rosen@us.pwc.com [<mailto:adam.rosen@us.pwc.com>]

Sent: Monday, December 10, 2012 6:33 PM

To: Hiltz, Ken

Cc: 'Art Traynor'; 'Hatfield, Ben'; bruce.m.buchanan@us.pwc.com; 'Buschmann, Mark'; 'Lucha, Dale'; 'Hartsog, Kent'; 'Moskowitz, Elliot'; 'Grant Crandall'; 'Huffard, Flip'; 'Bean, Joe'; 'Lushefski, Jack'; 'Judy Rivlin'; 'Huebner, Marshall S.'; 'Luna, Michael'; perry.mandarino@us.pwc.com; fp@previant.com; Mazzotti, Joseph

Subject: Patriot - Data Request

Ken,

Attached please find a list of additional diligence items. Please let me know if you have any questions or if any of the items need further clarification.

Best regards,

Adam

Adam M. Rosen
PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (pwc.com)
300 Madison Avenue
New York, NY 10017
Telephone: +1 646 471 7763
Facsimile: +1 813 329 9769
Mobile: +1 973 768 8177
adam.rosen@us.pwc.com

The information transmitted, including any attachments, is intended only for the person or entity to which it is addressed and may contain confidential and/or privileged material. Any review, retransmission, dissemination or other use of, or taking of any action in reliance upon, this information by persons or entities other than the intended recipient is prohibited, and all liability arising therefrom is disclaimed. If you received this in error, please contact the sender and delete the material from any computer. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is a Delaware limited liability partnership. This communication may come from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP or one of its subsidiaries.

1/4/13 Status Report in Response to PWC Information Request dated 12/12/12

PWC Request:	Status:																				
<p>1. Please run a scenario of the business plan and provide the model output based on the following increases over the Company's forecast at each respective complex:</p> <table border="0" data-bbox="191 467 756 609"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th><u>2013</u></th> <th><u>2014</u></th> <th><u>2015</u></th> <th><u>2016</u></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>CAP Thermal</td> <td>3.5%</td> <td>5.5%</td> <td>7.9%</td> <td>2.5%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>NAP Thermal</td> <td>14.1%</td> <td>18.2%</td> <td>15.0%</td> <td>12.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Illinois Basin</td> <td>4.7%</td> <td>9.0%</td> <td>7.5%</td> <td>6.8%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>Please note that the sensitivity should be run for uncontracted tonnage in these periods.</p> <p>Can you also run a scenario and provide the model output based on the Seth Schwartz/EVA prices, as shown on page 10 of the December 5th UCC presentation?</p> <p>Per PWC's email of 1/2/13, "Item 1.2.24.1 is not the model output requested. Please provide the entire model for each scenario"</p>		<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	CAP Thermal	3.5%	5.5%	7.9%	2.5%	NAP Thermal	14.1%	18.2%	15.0%	12.0%	Illinois Basin	4.7%	9.0%	7.5%	6.8%	<p>Posted item 1.2.24.1 on 12/21/12</p> <p>Regarding the 1/2/13 email comment, please note that an "entire model for each scenario" was not created as part of this analysis and does not exist. In our effort to be responsive to your request for "model output" based on two pricing scenarios, we performed the analysis in the most efficient manner - as an overlay. The document describes the assumptions used. The only affected items under these new scenarios are incremental revenues and expenses (royalties and taxes) affected by such pricing assumption. Altering the underlying model for these pricing assumptions would have required substantially more time, resources, and cost to complete, and would not have had a materially different result.</p> <p>We additionally posted item 1.2.24.3 on 1/3/13, which incorporates the incremental results of our analysis into the model's summary format. On our 1/3/13 call, we described the process by which we developed the scenarios, and the additional schedules posted; we understood that you viewed this response as adequate and we thus regard this item as complete</p>
	<u>2013</u>	<u>2014</u>	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>																	
CAP Thermal	3.5%	5.5%	7.9%	2.5%																	
NAP Thermal	14.1%	18.2%	15.0%	12.0%																	
Illinois Basin	4.7%	9.0%	7.5%	6.8%																	

1/4/13 Status Report in Response to PWC Information Request dated 12/12/12

PWC Request:	Status:
<p>2. Please provide identifying information for each of individuals referenced in DR Doc. 1.3.6.26 and 1.3.6.27, and in addition, for any individual employed by Peabody Coal Company (PCC) who was disabled in a mine accident prior to 12/31/2006.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Name • Social security number or employee ID • Date of birth • Dates of employment with PCC • To the extent known, prior dates of employment with any other signatory to the NBCWA and name of such company • Last day worked with PCC in coal mine employment • Total credited service • Medical Coverage tier (employee only, employee +1, family) • If applicable, date of disability • If applicable, date the individual applied for benefits under the Individual Employer Plan • Name and location of last employer and coal mine employment. <p>If the data requested is already included in the Mercer census files, please provide sufficient identifying information (e.g., names and employee ids) in Excel so that we can match up the files. Otherwise, please provide the data requested in Excel format.</p>	<p>Posted item 1.2.24.2 on 12/21/12 Complete</p>
<p>3. Please identify the source of information used by the Company in preparing its responses contained in DR 1.3.6.26 and DR 1.3.6.27, and please identify the Company employee familiar with this process so that we may speak with him/her for further details. In particular, please confirm whether the Company has at its disposal sufficient sources of information to identify the following individuals (many of whom were identified by the Company in group 1 of DR 1.3.6.27), and whether any of the following individuals are not identified in DR documents 1.3.6.26 and 1.3.6.27. If this request results in the identification of any additional individuals not already identified above, please provide the same census data requested above.</p> <p>Any Individual who:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Was employed by Peabody Coal Company (PCC) immediately prior to his last day of coal mine employment; 	<p>Posted items 1.2.24.4 and 1.2.24.5 on 1/4/13 Complete</p>

1/4/13 Status Report in Response to PWC Information Request dated 12/12/12

PWC Request:	Status:
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Had a vested right to receive retiree health benefits under the NBCWA Individual Employer Plan as of December 31, 2006 by virtue of either (a) having 20 years of signatory service; (b) having attained age 55 with 10 years of service; or (c) becoming disabled due to a mine accident; and• Retired from coal mine employment as of December 31, 2006 and did not thereafter return to employment with any company signatory to a labor agreement that requires the employer to provide health benefits to its MUWA retirees.	

1/4/13 Status Report in Response to PWC Information Request dated 12/14/12

PWC Request:	Status:
1. The narrative regarding intercompany allocation (the different methodologies and rationale behind differences at multiple locations) discussed on the 12/13 call	Posted items 1.2.25.7 and 1.2.25.8 to the data room on 12/19/12 Complete
2. Examples of intercompany allocation at the mine and complex level	Posted items 1.2.25.1-1.2.25.3 to the data room on 12/19/12 Complete
3. Contract level detail that supports the 2013 revenue forecast Per PWC’s email of 1/2/13, “Item 1.2.25.4 is not ‘contract level’. Please provide further detail”	Posted item 1.2.25.4 to the data room on 12/19/12 Regarding the 1/2/13 email comment, and as discussed on our 1/3/13 call, item 1.2.25.4 does provide the requested “Contract level detail that supports the 2013 revenue forecast.” Each line of the spreadsheet flagged as “Priced” represents the volume and revenue assumptions used for a specific contract, sorted by contract within complex. Customer names are not included. The contract code field allows you to aggregate total volume and revenue assumed for each unique contract across all mines used to supply it. Unpriced volumes and revenues (i.e. no contract existed as of the date of the plan) were included only to provide a tie back to the plan totals. This item is therefore complete

1/4/13 Status Report in Response to PWC Information Request dated 12/14/12

PWC Request:	Status:
<p>4. Mine-by-mine forecasts, analysis and schedules that support the complex level projections</p>	<p>Posted items 1.2.25.5 and 1.2.25.6 to the data room on 12/19/12 Complete</p>
<p>5. Employee break-out of the below items for each year of the forecast</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - SGA - Salaries - SGA - Stock Options - SGA - Incentive Comp-Salaried <p>Per PWC’s email of 12/21/12, “With respect to #5 on the 12/14 request we are looking for the "employee-level detail" that support the amount for the cells you referenced. My understanding is the line items and cells you reference ultimately trace back to hard coded #s on the 'Raw IS Base' tab. We are looking for how those hard coded amounts are broken out by employee.”</p> <p>Per PWC’s email of 1/2/13, “Open; Please provide an explanation and more supporting detail re: how those line items are calculated and forecasted”</p>	<p>In item 1.2.2.3 (PCX_Model_FINAL_LIVE_121105.xls), please refer to rows 538 & 541-543 on the “Raw IS Drive” tab</p> <p>Regarding the 12/21/12 email comment, we responded by email on 12/24/12 that employee-level detail is not contained in the model, nor forecasted at that level of detail for the company.</p> <p>Regarding the 1/2/13 email comment, and as we discussed on our 1/3/13 call, these forecasted amounts represent pools of funds assumed for planning purposes, in the absence of definitive incentive plans for 2013 and beyond. This item is therefore complete.</p>
<p>6. A break-out of "Outside Services" for each complex</p> <p>Per PWC’s email of 1/2/13, “Open; The reference to PCX_Model_FINAL_LIVE_121105.xls – rows 538 & 541-543 on the “Raw IS Drive” only provides consolidated. Please provide by mine and complex”</p>	<p>In item 1.2.2.3 (PCX_Model_FINAL_LIVE_121105.xls), please refer to rows 236-268 on the “Raw IS Drive” tab</p> <p>Regarding the 1/2/13 email comment, please note that item 1.2.25.5, posted on 12/19/12 in response to item 4 of this data request, above, includes the Outsides Services and Contract Mining</p>

1/4/13 Status Report in Response to PWC Information Request dated 12/14/12

PWC Request:	Status:
	break-outs on lines 204-237 of each tab This item is therefore complete.
<p>7. Is the Management Annual Incentive Compensation Plan still active? If so, please provide:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The performance goals and target for FY'11 - FY'13 - Payments made under the plan for FY'11 and FY'12 <p>Per PWC's email of 1/2/13, "Only 2011 provided"</p>	<p>We posted file 1.2.25.9 on 12/21/12 which relates to the 2011 Annual Incentive Plan. Payouts under the 2011 plan were \$7,902,955.54 for 258 participants.</p> <p>Regarding the 1/2/13 email comment, there will be no payouts for 2012 under this plan, even though some of the goals and objectives for 2012 were met. We have presented a 2013 Annual Incentive Plan to the UCC for consideration and expect to present a plan to the bankruptcy court in 2013. The plan has been made available to the UMWA. We have posted copies of the 2012 and 2013 metrics and goals as item 1.2.25.10 on 1/3/12</p> <p>This item is therefore complete.</p>
<p>8. Explanation of the 'Other' complex-level worksheet included in the business plan</p>	<p>The "Other" complex level worksheet is incorrectly labeled in item 1.2.2.3 as "Dodge Hill Business Unit;" it actually includes all the costs not at a complex level (i.e. closed mines, regional costs, SG&A, etc.). This worksheet bridges the complex worksheets to the consolidated worksheet</p> <p>Complete</p>